**Microtubule End-Clustering Maintains a Steady-State Spindle Shape**

**Highlights**

- Mammalian spindles use microtubule end-clustering by dynein or NuMA to hold their shape.
- Dynein or NuMA knockout spindles are unstable and turbulent.
- The kinesin Eg5 expands turbulent spindle networks and drives shape change.
- Turbulent spindles reorganize cytoplasm and increase cell movement.
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**In Brief**

Hueschen et al. show that mitotic spindles use clustering of microtubule ends by the motor dynein to maintain a steady-state spindle network shape. After complete loss of dynein or its partner NuMA, spindles dynamically remodel their shape and microtubule organization, and these unstable turbulent spindles can drive cell movement.
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SUMMARY
Each time a cell divides, the microtubule cytoskeleton self-organizes into the metaphase spindle: an ellipsoidal steady-state structure that holds its stereotyped geometry despite microtubule turnover and internal stresses [1–6]. Regulation of microtubule dynamics, motor proteins, microtubule crosslinking, and chromatid cohesion can modulate spindle size and shape, and yet modulated spindles reach and hold a new steady state [7–11]. Here, we ask what maintains any spindle steady-state geometry. We report that clustering of microtubule ends by dynein and NuMA is essential for mammalian spindles to hold a steady-state geometry. After dynein or NuMA deletion, the mitotic microtubule network is “turbulent”: microtubule bundles extend and bend against the cell cortex, constantly remodeling network shape. We find that spindle turbulence is driven by the homotetrameric kinesin-5 Eg5, and that acute Eg5 inhibition in turbulent spindles recovers spindle geometry and stability. Inspired by in vitro work on active turbulent gels of microtubules and kinesin [12, 13], we explore the kinematics of this in vivo turbulent network. We find that turbulent spindles display decreased nematic order and that motile asters distort the nematic director field. Finally, we see that turbulent spindles can drive both flow of cytoplasmic organelles and whole-cell movement—analogous to the autonomous motility displayed by droplet-encapsulated turbulent gels [12]. Thus, end-clustering by dynein and NuMA is required for mammalian spindles to reach a steady-state geometry, and in their absence Eg5 powers a turbulent microtubule network inside mitotic cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
End-Clustering by Dynein and NuMA Is Required for a Steady-State Spindle Geometry
Microtubule end-clustering by motors generates contractile stresses that compact isotropic microtubule networks to a defined geometry in vitro and in silico [14–20]. In mammalian cells, the dynein-dynactin-NuMA complex robustly clusters microtubule ends at mitosis (Figure 1A) [21, 22]; NuMA is released from the nucleus upon mitotic entry and localizes to minus-ends, recruiting dynein activity there [23]. Thus, we hypothesized that in addition to its role in shaping focused spindle poles [24–27], the dynein-dynactin-NuMA complex compacts the spindle microtubule network to a defined steady-state geometry, which holds its shape over time. To test this hypothesis, we deleted dynein or NuMA using an inducible CRISPR-Cas9 system in human RPE1 cell lines (Figures S1A and S1B). Strikingly, in mitotic cells in which dynein or NuMA was deleted, spindles failed to reach a steady-state geometry (Figures 1B and 1C; Videos S1, S2, and S3). Cells remained in mitosis for hours, unable to enter anaphase, without reaching any spindle steady-state shape. Knockout spindles were larger in size than control spindles and explored a broad space of shape configurations (Figures 1B and 1C; Videos S1, S2, and S3). Instead, the microtubule network was dynamic and disordered; asters and microtubule bundles extended in unpredictable directions before bending against the cell cortex (Figure S1C). Centriole pairs were frequently split, and spindles contained an average of ~5 microtubule asters (Figures S1D–S1F). Cells remained in mitosis for hours, unable to enter anaphase, without reaching any spindle steady-state shape. Knockout spindles were larger in size than control spindles and explored a broad space of shape configurations (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1G–S1J), indicating that dynein and NuMA are both required for the spindle to reach a steady-state geometry. That dynein is essential reveals a requirement for active force generation, and that NuMA is essential reveals a requirement for minus-end-localized force [23]; we conclude therefore that minus-end-clustering is key to holding spindle shape at a deterministic steady state.

The irregular, disordered spindle motion and dynamic changes in spindle organization observed after dynein or NuMA knockout are reminiscent of turbulent active nematic materials [12, 28]. Henceforth, we use the term turbulent to refer to these unstable, constantly remodeling dynein or NuMA knockout...
Figure 1. End-Clustering by Dynein and NuMA Is Required for a Steady-State Spindle Geometry

(A) Schematic of microtubule minus-end-clustering by the dynein-dynactin-NuMA complex. End-clustering can generate contractile stresses (large arrows) that compact microtubule networks [14–20].

(B) Time-lapse live images of spindles in RPE1 cells stably expressing GFP-tubulin. Time is in min:s. Right panel shows spindle network outlines, with position always aligned to cell centroid. Colors correspond to times indicated by bars above time-lapse image. Control spindles (held at metaphase with 5 μM MG132) maintain a steady-state geometry, while spindles in which NuMA or dynein has been knocked out (KO) constantly remodel their shapes.

(C) Representative examples of spindle long- and short-axis dimensions (see STAR Methods) over time (n = 5–6 example cells for each condition).

(D) Quantification of spindle shape by elliptical Fourier analysis (see STAR Methods). Control spindles show a consistent and restricted shape profile, while KO spindle shape profiles are complex and heterogeneous.

(E) Mean and SEM (gray shading) of spindle shape correlation coefficient (see STAR Methods) comparing two frames from binary, segmented videos of spindles, as a function of the time interval between those frames (lag time). For turbulent (dynein and NuMA KO) spindles, correlation decays exponentially with a mean lifetime of ~5–7 min (see Figure S1K) (n = 10 cells each condition).

See also Figure S1 and Videos S1, S2, and S3.
spindles. To estimate the timescale of turbulent spindle remodeling, we sought to quantify shape change as a function of time. As a simple metric of spindle geometry similarity, we calculated a correlation coefficient between two binary images of the same segmented spindle (at times $t_1$ and $t_2$), capturing the degree of shape overlap. In the absence of end-clustering, this spindle shape correlation decayed exponentially as the time interval (lag time) between $t_1$ and $t_2$ increased, with a decay lifetime of ~5–7 min (Figures 1E and S1K). This timescale of network remodeling, or “spindle shape memory,” is shorter than the spindle lifetime required to accomplish chromosome segregation (20–30 min in RPE1 cells [29, 30]). By contrast, in control spindles, spindle geometry remained correlated over longer times (Figure 1E). End-clustering appeared to act as a homeostatic “restoring force” that pushed spindles back to a steady-state shape. Thus, clustering of microtubule ends by dynein and NuMA compacts the spindle microtubule network and suppresses network turbulence, maintaining a steady-state spindle geometry over long timescales.

**In the Absence of Dynein or NuMA, Eg5 Drives Spindle Expansion and Turbulent Microtubule Motion**

We next sought to determine what active mechanism drove the spindle turbulence observed in the absence of dynein or NuMA. The bipolar homotetrameric kinesin-5 Eg5 can slide overlapping antiparallel microtubules apart (Figure 2A) [31–34] but does not resist large-scale contraction of an isotropic microtubule network in meiotic extract [16], indicating that Eg5 sliding events may not translate into long-range expansion in an isotropic network. Given the local microtubule alignment present in spindles, however, we wondered whether Eg5 could drive network expansion in this context.

Indeed, Eg5 inhibition using 5 μM S-trityl-l-cysteine (STLC) [35] decreased spindle turbulence as well as bundle and aster extensions upon acute addition to dynein and NuMA knockout cells (Figure 2B; Video S4). Spindle size in knockout cells decreased strikingly after Eg5 inhibition, and within 10–20 min spindles remodeled to a size and geometry more similar to a wild-type metaphase steady state (Figures 2B and 2C; Video S4). To quantify spindle dynamics after Eg5 inhibition, we calculated spindle shape correlation (spindle shape overlap) as a function of the time interval between images, as in Figure 1E. After Eg5 inhibition, dynein and NuMA knockout spindles remained more correlated over time and more similar to control spindles (Figure 2D). Thus, the data indicate that Eg5 drives turbulent kinematics and expansion of the mitotic microtubule network in the absence of microtubule end-clustering (and network compaction) by dynein and NuMA. Interestingly, the network turbulence we observe displays similarities to turbulence observed in active matter systems consisting of purified microtubules and driven by artificially multimerized kinesin-1 [12, 13, 36]. We note with excitement that the naturally homotetrameric kinesin Eg5 can drive analogous dynamics in vivo.

**Turbulent Spindles Display Topological Defects and Decreased Nematic Order**

Next, we sought to investigate the local and global organization and the kinematics of a turbulent, kinesin-driven microtubule network. To enable whole-network imaging and to simplify networks to roughly two dimensions, we physically confined control and NuMA knockout mitotic cells in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) devices [37]. Turbulent spindles remained highly dynamic and disordered (Figure 3A), and we observed multiple small, motile asters (Figures 1A and 3A) that frequently repelled each other. The repulsion of overlapping asters, which are polar arrays with minus-ends anchored at their cores (Figure S1D), is consistent with Eg5-powered expansion of antiparallel microtubule overlaps within a disordered network. Again, these Eg5-driven dynamics were reminiscent of turbulent, extensible in vitro active matter systems, which contain microtubules bundled by a depletion agent and are driven by artificially multimerized kinesin-1 [12]. An active liquid crystal framework has provided quantitative insight into those in vitro experiments, which have been described as active nematic systems: “active” because motors convert ATP into motion, and “nematic” because their constituent particles, microtubules, are aligned. In those systems, continual active extension of microtubule bundles by kinesin leads to larger scale turbulence, loss of microtubule alignment (nematic order), and motile topological defects [12, 13].

To explore whether the active nematic framework and associated kinematic descriptions might apply in our in vivo setting, we extracted the nematic director field (a map of microtubule orientations) from fluorescence images (Figures 3B and S2A–S2C). While control spindles show two topological defects in the director field (at spindle poles), turbulent spindles contain defects throughout the whole network (Figure 3B). In turbulent spindles, aster “defects” moved along unpredictable trajectories (Figure S2D), and their movement distorted and reordered the director field (Figure 3B, zoom). At a local scale (<2 μm), control and turbulent spindles showed similarly high nematic order (Figures 3C, 3D, S2E, and S2F). Since functional chromosome segregation involves microtubule alignment at the whole-network scale, we also calculated a global nematic order parameter for each spindle (Figure 3E). Turbulent spindles displayed decreased nematic order at this whole-network scale; the mean global...
Figure 3. Turbulent Spindles Have Lower Global Nematic Order and Unstable Microtubule Organization

(A) Time-lapse live images of spindles in RPE1 cells confined in PDMS devices to allow imaging of the entire microtubule network. Time is in mins. Circles highlight example asters, which are fixed in place in control spindles but move and repel each other in turbulent networks.

(B) Example images of nematic director fields for control and NuMA knockout spindles. Right, example of aster repulsion and motility, which dynamically distorts the director field.

(C) Schematic illustration of methods used to quantify the local and global microtubule order. The nematic order parameter, s, captures the degree of microtubule alignment. Distributions of director angles show microtubule orientation relative to the dominant spindle orientation (see Figure S2 and STAR Methods).

(D) Time dependence of the mean local nematic order parameter (evaluated over 2 x 2 μm regions).

(E) Time dependence of the mean global nematic order parameter (evaluated at the scale of the whole spindle).

(legend continued on next page)
nematic order parameter was half that of control spindles, in which most microtubules are within 25° of one dominant orientation (Figures 3E, 3F, S2E, and S2F). Factors promoting microtubule alignment, like microtubule cross-linking proteins, may maintain local order but require end-clustering by dynein and NuMA to create global alignment of locally ordered domains. Notably, while turbulent spindles are not at a deterministic steady state—the orientation of microtubules in any given region of the spindle is not fixed in time—the degree of nematic order in both control and turbulent spindles fluctuated around a statistical steady state (Figures 3D and 3E). Finally, we found that microtubule orientation was less correlated over space (Figures S2G and S2H) and time (Figure 3G) in turbulent spindles. In other words, NuMA knockout spindles showed unstable patterns of microtubule organization (Figure 3G), supporting the hypothesis that end-clustering is required for the spindle to hold a steady-state geometry. In summary, NuMA knockout spindles contain motile asters and display decreased global nematic order and temporally unstable nematic patterns, consistent with the idea that they are a turbulent material “stirred up” by active kinesin-generated stresses.

In the absence of microtubule network alignment and compaction by microtubule end-clustering, spindle turbulence may arise from (1) the motility of a multiple γ-tubulin-containing microtubule asters (Figures 3 and S1D–S1F), which distort global nematic organization and nucleate microtubules in unregulated positions and orientations; and (2) microtubule bending and buckling against the cell cortex during unchecked Eg5 bundle extension events (Figures 1, 2, and S1C). Consistent with a key contribution from the latter, dynamic spindle shape changes have not been reported after dynein inhibition in acentrosomal spindles in Xenopus laevis extract, which lack the physical boundary of a cell cortex and membrane [26].

Turbulent Spindles Can Drive Cytoplasmic Flow and Reorganize Cytoplasmic Organelles

Thus far, the data indicate that end-clustering by dynein and NuMA masks a disordered, unstable turbulent microtubule network. In the absence of end-clustering, spindles show altered network kinematics (e.g., dynamic geometry (Figures 1B–1D) and dynamic distortions in nematic alignment (Figures 3B and 3G) driven by the kinesin Eg5 (Figure 2)). Interestingly, we also noticed that turbulent dynein or NuMA knockout spindles reorganized cytoplasmic organelles, confining mitochondria (Figure 4A) and lysosomes (data not shown) to the periphery of the cell. In addition, we observed unusual flows of cytoplasmic organelles in mitotic knockout cells, but not in control cells (Figure 4B). These were especially clear in rare instances when dynein or NuMA knockout spindles underwent coherent whole-network rotations (Video S5), which resemble spontaneous rotations reported for dynein-inhibited meiotic microtubule networks encapsulated in droplets [39]. Indeed, particle image velocimetry (PIV) analysis during rotational flows revealed spatially coordinated microtubule flows and organelle flows (Figure 4B), suggesting that dynamic knockout spindles can drive cytoplasmic flows. In other words, the data indicate that contractile end-clustering by dynein and NuMA maintains a compact steady-state spindle geometry that is mechanically isolated from the rest of the cell. In the absence of end-clustering, extra-spindle cytoplasm and organelles are no longer isolated from spindle kinematics and forces, allowing turbulent spindles to displace organelles and generate cytoplasmic flows.

Turbulent Spindles Can Change Extracellular Mechanics, Increasing Cell Movement at Mitosis

To our surprise we noticed that, in addition to increased cytoplasmic (intracellular) dynamics, mitotic cells containing turbulent spindles appeared to display increased cell motility, sometimes moving long distances (tens of microns) when plated on coverslips at low density. To carefully compare the motility of cells containing turbulent spindles to cells containing steady-state, mechanically isolated spindles, we synchronized cells at the G2/M checkpoint and imaged cells at comparable densities from 1 h until 3 h after release into mitosis. Indeed, cells containing turbulent spindles (NuMA knockout) showed longer, directional displacements than cells containing steady-state spindles (control cells at metaphase with MG132) (Figure 4C; Video S6). When Eg5 was inhibited with 5 μM STLC prior to imaging to reduce spindle turbulence, cell displacement was reduced, indicating that turbulent spindle dynamics—not loss of NuMA function directly—affects cell motility (Figure 4C; Video S6). In particular, a subpopulation of cells containing turbulent spindles displayed highly directional motion (Figure 4D) compared to control cells or NuMA knockout cells treated with STLC. Importantly, the cells that underwent the largest directional displacements were those containing the most turbulent spindles: variance in cell displacement correlated with variance in orientation of the major spindle axis, a simple indicator of unstable microtubule organization (Figure 4E). We conclude that at mitosis, a steady-state spindle shape isolates cell position from spindle forces. In the absence of spindle mechanical isolation, intracellular dynamics driven by turbulent spindles can affect whole-cell mechanics and cell motility. Of note, flows of the actomyosin cell cortex are thought to reposition cells during early embryonic development in animals [40, 41]. It is possible that intracellular flows of microtubules can similarly be coupled through friction to the cell cortex and membrane to drive mitotic cell rolling, or that they increase the rate of cell-substrate adhesion breakage. We speculate that the establishment of a defined spindle shape and the suppression of network turbulence may be important not only for segregating chromosomes, but also for preventing physical interference with other cell functions.

In summary, we report that clustering of microtubule minus-ends by dynein and NuMA is required for the mammalian spindle to reach and hold a steady-state geometry. End-clustering may

(F) Distribution of director angles relative to the dominant spindle orientation. Dashed lines illustrate a fully isotropic distribution. (G) Temporal nematic correlation of microtubule orientation < cos 2(θ1 − θ2) > as a function of lag time, t2 − t1. θi is the angle of a given director at time t1. (D)–(G) report on m > 200 video frames from n = 6 cells each condition. Mean traces are shown; shading indicates SEM. See also Figure S2.
limit spindle size and geometry by generating contractile stresses that compact the microtubule network, as observed for isotropic microtubule networks in vitro and in silico [14–20]. In the absence of end-clustering, the homotetrameric kinesin Eg5 can expand the mitotic microtubule network and drive it into a turbulent state of decreased nematic order, which resembles turbulent active nematic gels comprising purified microtubule bundles and kinesin [12]. Interestingly, when those turbulent gels were encapsulated in emulsion droplets, droplets exhibited persistent autonomous motility [12]. Here, we find that turbulent...
spindles can drive cytoplasmic flows and persistent cell movement—an in vivo example of this physical mechanism.
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-α-tubulin conjugated to AF488</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>Cat# 8058S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-α-tubulin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# T3526; RRID:AB_261659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-α-tubulin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# T6199; RRID:AB_477583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-NuMA</td>
<td>Novus Biologicals</td>
<td>Cat# NB500-174; RRID:AB_10002562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-dynein intermediate chain</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# MAB1618MI; RRID:AB_2246059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-γ-tubulin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# T3559; RRID:AB_477575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-centrin</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 04-1624; RRID:AB_10663501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A11001; RRID:AB_2534069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A11008; RRID:AB_143165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 568 goat anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A11004; RRID:AB_2534072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 568 goat anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A11011; RRID:AB_143157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 647 goat anti-mouse IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A21236; RRID:AB_2535805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa 647 goat anti-rabbit IgG</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher</td>
<td>Cat# A21244; RRID:AB_2535812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STLC</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 164739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG132</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# 474790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R0-3306</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# SML0569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiR-tubulin dye</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, Inc.</td>
<td>Cat# CYSC-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>Cytoskeleton, Inc.</td>
<td>Cat# CYSC-002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MitoTracker Red</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# M7512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoechst 33342</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# H3570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: RPE1 inducible NuMA knockout cells expressing GFP-tubulin</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: RPE1 inducible dynein heavy chain knockout cells expressing GFP-tubulin</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: RPE1 inducible NuMA knockout cells</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: RPE1 inducible dynein heavy chain knockout cells</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sgRNA #2 targeting human NuMA: 5’-AAGTCCAGTCTCTCTTGACAC-3’</td>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sgRNA #D2.1 targeting human dynein heavy chain: 5’-CACCGAGAATGTGGCGGACGTGT-3’</td>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>RPE line #D2.1 <a href="http://cellcycleknockouts.wi.mit.edu/">http://cellcycleknockouts.wi.mit.edu/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATLAB</td>
<td>MathWorks</td>
<td>R2013B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIVlab</td>
<td>[43], [44]</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>2.0.0-rc-54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trackmate</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>3.4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metamorph</td>
<td>MDS Analytical Technologies</td>
<td>7.7.8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 mm MatTek Dishes (poly-D-lysine coated)</td>
<td>MatTek Corporation</td>
<td>Cat# P35GC-1.5-20-C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Sophie Dumont (sophie.dumont@ucsf.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

RPE1 cells (female human retinal epithelial cells) were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in DMEM/F12 (11320; Thermo Fisher) supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin and 10% tetracycline-screened FBS (SH30070.03T; Hyclone Labs, Logan, UT). Cells tested negative for mycoplasma. To generate inducible CRISPR NuMA knockout cells [23], we used a RPE1 cell line containing doxycycline-inducible human codon-optimized spCas9 that was a gift from I. Cheeseman (Whitehead/MIT) and was generated as described in [46] using a derivative of the transposon described in [47]. We designed sgRNAs against 5’ exons of NuMA using http://crispr.mit.edu and cloned them into the pLenti-sgRNA plasmid (gift from T. Wang, D. Sabatini, and E. Lander, Whitehead/Broad/MIT) under control of the hU6 promoter. We infected this inducible-spCas9 RPE1 cell line with each pLenti-sgRNA as described in [48] using virus expressing in HEK293T cells and 10 μg/mL polybrene and selected with 6 μg/mL puromycin. We tested three independent sgRNA sequences, each of which generated indistinguishable spindle phenotypes (data not shown), and picked one line for subsequent studies. Inducible CRISPR dynein (dynein heavy chain; DHC) knockout cells were a generous gift from I. Cheeseman (Whitehead/MIT) and published in [42]. Subsequently, to generate cell lines stably expressing GFP-tubulin, inducible NuMA and dynein knockout cells were infected with GFP-tubulin lentivirus and selected with 2.5 μg/mL blasticidin. Virus was made in HEK293T cells from a pLent6/V5-DEST plasmid (Invitrogen) containing GFP-tubulin (gift of T. Wittmann [49]). Four days before each experiment, spCas9 expression was induced with 1 μM doxycycline hyclate.

METHOD DETAILS

Live cell imaging

Cell lines used have CRISPR/spCas9 and sgRNA stably integrated, but spCas9 expression is only induced upon doxycycline addition before each experiment, allowing for genetic manipulation of essential mitotic genes. For imaging, cells were plated on 35 mm #1.5 coverslip glass-bottomed dishes coated with poly-D-lysine (MatTek) and imaged in a stage-top humidified incubation chamber (Tokai Hit, Fujinomiya-shi, Japan) maintained at 30°C and 5% CO₂. To label mitochondria, 25 nM MitoTracker Red (Sigma) was added to cell media for 30 min and washed out before imaging. For some experiments, 100 nM siR-tubulin dye (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) was added 2 h prior to imaging to label microtubules, along with 10 μM verapamil (Cytoskeleton, Inc.). Under these conditions, there was no detected defect in spindle appearance or microtubule dynamics. As described in [21], cells were imaged using an Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope (Nikon Instruments) with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal operated by MetaMorph (7.7.8.0; Molecular Devices), with either a 100X 1.45 Ph3 oil objective (Figure 3), a 60X 1.4 Ph3 oil objective (Figures 1 and 2), or a 20X 0.5 Ph1 air objective (Figure 4), and with an Andor iXon3 camera.

Immunofluorescence and antibodies

For immunofluorescence, cells were plated on #1.5 25 mm coverslips coated with 1 mg/mL poly-L-lysine (MatTek) and imaged in a stage-top humidified incubation chamber (Tokai Hit, Fujinomiya-shi, Japan) maintained at 30°C and 5% CO₂. To label mitochondria, 25 nM MitoTracker Red (Sigma) was added to cell media for 30 min and washed out before imaging. For some experiments, 100 nM siR-tubulin dye (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) was added 2 h prior to imaging to label microtubules, along with 10 μM verapamil (Cytoskeleton, Inc.). Under these conditions, there was no detected defect in spindle appearance or microtubule dynamics. As described in [21], cells were imaged using an Eclipse Ti-E inverted microscope (Nikon Instruments) with a Yokogawa CSU-X1 spinning disk confocal operated by MetaMorph (7.7.8.0; Molecular Devices), with either a 100X 1.45 Ph3 oil objective (Figure 3), a 60X 1.4 Ph3 oil objective (Figures 1 and 2), or a 20X 0.5 Ph1 air objective (Figure 4), and with an Andor iXon3 camera.

Small molecule treatment

To inhibit Eg5 motor activity, we treated cells with 5 μM S-trityl-L-cysteine (STLC, Sigma). We resumed live cell imaging ~1 min after STLC addition. In Figure 2, time 0:00:00 indicates the first image after STLC addition. For experiments in Figures 1 and 2, control cells were held in metaphase for long-term imaging using 10 μM MG132, added 1 h before imaging. Because NuMA and dynein knockout cells already do not satisfy the spindle assembly checkpoint and are stuck in mitosis, MG132 addition was not necessary (and did not alter spindle morphology when added as a control). To synchronize mitotic entry for cell motility tracking (Figure 4), we treated cells overnight with 9 μM RO-3306 (Sigma) to synchronize them at the G2/M checkpoint. RO-3306 was washed out 50 min before imaging and replaced with standard media (or media + 10 μM MG132, for control cells).

Cell confinement

As in [37], cells were confined using a suction cup device adapted from a previous design [50] using soft-lithography techniques. SU8 was used to photolithographically pattern a negative relief of pillar structures (height 5 μm; diameter 200 μm; spacing 700 μm center to center). PDMS (Sylgard 184, Sigma) was mixed with curing agent and poured over the region at a 10:1 ratio. A 10-mm-diameter...
coverslip was pressed onto the pattern and baked at 80°C for 1 h. The coverslip, with micropillar spacers attached, was attached to a suction cup device. For cell-confinement assays, the device was attached to a milliliter syringe, placed on a coverslip with adherent cells, and attached using negative pressure. Additional negative pressure was created by hand to lower the pillared coverslip onto cells. Approximately 30 min before imaging, confinement was applied gradually over a period of 5–10 min.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Quantification of spindle shape**
Spindle long and short axis dimensions were measured using a home-written MATLAB (R2016a; The Mathworks, Inc.) program. Images of tubulin fluorescence (max intensity projections of a 2 μm z section) were passed through a median filter (3x3 pixels) and segmented using an intensity threshold. Spindle area (Figure 2C) was calculated based on the area (i.e., number of pixels) contained within the segmented region. For Figure 1C, an ellipse was fit to the segmented region, and the ellipse major axis length (spindle long axis) and minor axis length (spindle short axis) were measured and reported. To obtain spindle outlines, we used the perimeter of the segmented spindle region. To align spindle outlines over time and adjust for cell movement, we segmented cells (using a low tubulin intensity threshold) and calculated centroid position. We used these coordinates to align colored spindle outlines in Figures 1B and 2B.

**Elliptical Fourier analysis of spindle shapes**
Dynamic shape remodeling is one of the key features of knockout (KO) spindles that is much less pronounced in the wild-type (WT) variants. A quantitative demonstration of these differences is not a trivial task since the conventional morphological descriptors (e.g., the aspect ratio, area, etc.) do not accurately capture the complex variations in spindle shape. To enable a more informative comparison of spindle shapes, we employ the elliptical Fourier analysis method [51] which has been used extensively in morphological studies of biological shapes [52–54].

The workflow of the method is shown in Figures S1G–S1J, which is heavily based on the original implementation by Kuhl and Gardina [51]. First, the closed contour of the spindle (Figure S1G) is parametrized with a third “time” variable that stands for the position along the contour. This leads into two single-valued and periodic functions for the x- and y-projections (Figure S1H) and thereby makes them suitable for a Fourier analysis. The Fourier decompositions of the x(t) and y(t) projections are then obtained separately, resulting in

\[
x_n(t) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos \frac{2n\pi t}{T} + b_n \sin \frac{2n\pi t}{T},
\]

\[
y_n(t) = C_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \cos \frac{2n\pi t}{T} + d_n \sin \frac{2n\pi t}{T},
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(C_0\) are offset constants, \(\{a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n\}\) are the Fourier coefficients of the \(n^{th}\) harmonic, \(T\) is the length of the contour, and \(N\) is the total number of harmonics included in the Fourier decomposition. Each harmonic represents an ellipse that revolves \(n\) times around the first elliptic harmonic; hence, the name elliptical Fourier analysis. The reconstruction of the contour using its elliptical Fourier components can be obtained by plotting \(x_n(t)\) and \(y_n(t)\) back in the x-y plane. Figure S1I shows reconstructions where different numbers of harmonics were used. The \(N = 1\) case includes only the first elliptic harmonic, which carries information about the approximate dimensions and the orientation of the contour. On the other hand, the reconstruction with \(N = 10\) harmonics already captures the major variations in the spindle shape.

To quantify the contribution of each harmonic to shape variability, we follow the treatment by Diaz et al. [52] and introduce a mode strength metric defined as

\[
P_n = n \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2 + c_n^2 + d_n^2},
\]

where the square root term is proportional to the perimeter of the \(n^{th}\) harmonic ellipse which revolves \(n\) times around the first harmonic. Prior to calculating the mode strength spectrum for a contour, we normalize its size to have the major axis length of the first harmonic ellipse be unity [51], so that the comparison of different spindle contours reflects differences in their shapes and not the sizes. As an example, the mode strength spectrum corresponding to the contour in Figure S1G is depicted in Figure S1J. We note that since the mode spectrum \(P_n(n = 1, \ldots, N)\) combines the information from individual coefficients \(\{a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n\}\), it is not sufficiency to recover the original shape, but rather serves as a measure of shape complexity arising from different elliptical contributions.

**Time correlation function of spindle shape**
Using a home-written MATLAB program, spindles and cells were segmented as above. Binary images of segmented spindles were registered, allowing for both translation and rotation and optimizing image similarity using Mattes mutual information algorithm (MATLAB imregister) [55]. A mask based on cell segmentation was used to remove all pixels outside the cell from the subsequent correlation calculation. Then, a correlation coefficient \(r\) was calculated for pairs of registered binary images (image A at time \(t_1\) and image B at \(t_2\)) using MATLAB’s 2D correlation coefficient function, corr2:
Conceptually, this shape correlation coefficient reports on the degree of spatial overlap between spindle shapes. As spindle shapes always overlap to some extent – the spindle never disappears – shape correlation generally ranges between ~0.7 – 0.9. To compute shape correlation as a function of lag time \( (t_2 - t_1) \), correlation coefficients were calculated and averaged for all possible pairs of video frames separated by a time interval of 4 min, then calculated and averaged for all pairs of frames separated by a time interval of 8 min, and so on. For each spindle, correlation coefficient \( r \) versus lag time data were fit by the exponential function \( r = a + e^{-(t - t_0)/\text{lag time}} + b \) using MATLAB’s curve fitting tool (see Figure S1K).

Nematic director field, order parameter, and correlation function

To obtain the nematic director field using a home-written MATLAB program, the spindle was first isolated in fluorescence images based on pixel intensity values, as shown in Figure S2A. Then, the image was divided into overlapping windows with dimensions of 15x15 px, with the window centers spaced 5 px apart on a grid (Figure S2B). Pixel information in each window was used to obtain the local director at the center via an established fast Fourier transform (FFT) method [56, 57], the workflow of which is as follows. First, a Gaussian filter was applied on the window to eliminate edge effects. Then, the 2-dimensional FFT was calculated, which is skewed in a direction that is perpendicular to the director. The principle skew direction was obtained by scanning over multiple lines with 1° resolution and choosing the direction \( \theta_0 \) that maximizes the target function \( L(\theta_0) = \sum_{Fq} | \cos(\theta_q - \theta_0) | \), where the summation is performed over all Fourier components with magnitudes \( Fq \) and polar angles \( \theta_q \). The rationale was to choose \( \theta_0 \) that was closest to the angles of dominating Fourier components. The local director in real space was then identified as the direction perpendicular to the principal skew line (Figure S2C).

To estimate the degree of local alignment of microtubules, we calculated the local nematic order parameter at each grid position shown in Figure S2B via \( s_{\text{local}} = 2(\cos^2(\theta_q - \theta_0)) - 0.5 \), where averaging was performed over the directors in a local domain defined by a 5x5 grid kernel (2 μm x 2 μm), and \( \theta_0 \) was the angle corresponding to the nematic director of the domain that maximized \( s_{\text{local}}(\theta_0) \) (Figure S2E) [57]. For locally disordered regions, we would expect the local nematic order parameter to be close to 0. Conversely, for locally aligned microtubules, the order parameter would be close to 1. A nematic order parameter field for a sample region of the NuMA KO spindle is shown in Figure S2F. We calculated the global nematic order parameter using \( s_{\text{global}} = 2(\cos^2(\theta_q - \theta_0)) - 0.5 \), where the summation was now taken over all directors of the spindle, and \( \theta_0 \) was chosen to maximize \( s_{\text{global}}(\theta_0) \). The same \( \theta_0 \) was used as the dominant orientation (Figure 2C), relative to which we calculated the distribution of director angles (Figure 2F).

Our calculation of the two-point correlation of nematic orientations \( < \cos 2(\theta_2 - \theta_0) > \) as a function of separation distance (Figure S2G) is illustrated in Figure S2H. For every possible pair of directors \( n_2 \) and \( n_1 \) within the spindle, we calculated \( \cos 2(\theta_2 - \theta_0) \) and binned by distance between 0 and \( r \). Mean values for each bin were further averaged over all frames of a video. For a randomly oriented nematic director field, \( < \cos 2(\theta_2 - \theta_0) > = 0 \). Similarly, the temporal nematic correlation of microtubule orientation (Figure S3G) was calculated using \( < \cos 2(\theta_{2t} - \theta_{0t}) > \) as a function of lag time, \( t_2 - t_1 \). \( \theta_{0t} \) is the angle of a given director at time \( t_1 \). Correlations were calculated after translational registration of segmented spindle images by maximizing image cross-correlation (MATLAB’s xcorr2 function).

Tracking aster dynamics

To obtain the trajectories of asters shown in Figure S2D, we first eliminated the bulk translational motion of the spindle caused by cell movement by registering the spindle segmentation masks relative to the first frame using a custom MATLAB program. Aster tracking was then done by finding the locations of intensity maxima in manually specified local regions near each aster at different time points.

Measuring intracellular flows and cell motility

To measure flows of tubulin and mitochondria during turbulent spindle rotations (Figure 4B), we used Particle Image Velocimetry tracking with MATLAB’s PIVlab [43, 44]. Sequential PIV window sizes were 12.6, 6.3, and 4.2 μm. We used TrackMate software [45] on FIJI (ImageJ Version 2.0.0–rc–54) to track mitotic cell positions (Figure 4) using phase contrast images of cells imaged at 20X, from 1 h to 3 h after release into mitosis. Mean displacements and speeds (averaged over the 2 h window) were calculated in MATLAB. To correlate the spindle instability and cell displacement for individual NuMA knockout cells, we calculated the standard deviation (square root of the variance) of cell displacement and the square root of the circular variance (MATLAB’s circular statistics toolbox [38]) of the orientation of the major spindle axis, a simple indicator of unstable microtubule organization, for individual cells over the 2 h window. We used Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (Spearman’s rho) in MATLAB to calculate strength of association, since we do not assume a linear relationship.

Quantification of NuMA and dynein immunofluorescence

To measure NuMA and dynein intensity in control cells and cells in which NuMA or dynein heavy chain had been knocked out (Figures S1A and S1B), we used sum intensity projections of confocal immunofluorescence images, covering 7 μm in the z-dimension. Using a
home-written MATLAB program, cells were isolated using a low threshold for tubulin fluorescence intensity. We measured mean tubulin intensity and mean NuMA or dynein intermediate chain (DIC) intensity of sum intensity projections within the isolated cell region. We normalized NuMA or DIC intensity measurements for each cell by dividing by tubulin intensity.

**Statistical analysis**

Exponential decay fits were calculated in MATLAB. One-way ANOVA and Tukey post hoc tests (Figure 4D) and Spearman’s rank correlations (Figure 4E) were performed in Microsoft Excel and MATLAB. Error bars and shaded regions throughout are standard error of the mean. Quoted n’s are described in more detail in Figure Legends, but in general refer to individual spindles or cells.